

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

On page 1, before line 1, after the title, please insert the following paragraph:

-- GOVERNMENT RIGHTS

This invention was made with Government support under Contract No. DMR94-00362 awarded by NSF-MRSEC and under Contract No. F49620-92-1-0277 awarded by Air Force of Scientific Research. The government has certain rights in this invention.

RESEARCH AGREEMENTS

The claimed invention was made by, on behalf of, and/or in connection with one or more of the following parties to a joint university-corporation research agreement: Princeton University, The University of Southern California, and the Universal Display Corporation. The agreement was in effect on and before the date the claimed invention was made, and the claimed invention was made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of the agreement. --

(E) The Applicant reminded the examiner that:

- (1) US Patent No. 6,294,398 to Kim et al. played a prominent role in the most recent rejection;
- (2) Kim '398 was removed as prior art by a statement of common ownership, and the examiner's "Reasons for Allowance" indicates that this removal was the reason for allowance;
- (3) there are similarities between the disclosures of the '398 patent and the Kim paper discussed in (C) above, which was disclosed by the Applicant on a PTO 1449 submitted on June 25, 2001 and considered and initialed by the examiner on May 10, 2004.

The Applicant requested specific consideration of the Kim paper, and a supplemental "Reasons for Allowance" reflecting such consideration.

(F) The examiner was able to view the file history in the USPTO electronic database, but a copy of the PTO 1449 citing the Science paper by Kim discussed in (C) above was not scanned into the proper place (the June 25, 2001 IDS was present, but not the attached PTO 1449). The Applicant agreed to fax to the examiner at 703-746-9227 a copy of the PTO 1449 and the Kim paper. The Applicant also faxed a courtesy copy of this "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

(G) The examiner agreed to present the Kim paper and the PTO 1449 to his supervisor, and determine whether any further action is needed in this application, specifically whether prosecution needs to be re-opened, whether a Supplemental Reasons for Allowance is appropriate, or whether the record (which includes the PTO 1449 initialed on May 10, 2004 and this Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance) accurately reflects complete consideration of all relevant references.

(H) There was no e-mail communication between Applicants and the PTO.

The Applicants respectfully assert that the claims are patentable over a combination of the Kim paper and Whitesides for reasons discussed at the June 18, 2004 interview and summarized on page 7, letter (E) of the June 28, 2004 Response to Office Action.

Since the Kim paper was disclosed by the Applicant on June 25, 2001, the Applicants respectfully assert that there is no obligation on the part of the Applicants to file an RCE in order to have the Kim paper considered, and that the examiner has an obligation to fully

Serial No.: 09/833,695

consider this reference if he has not already done so. See also, "Comment re: Finality of Rejection" on page 7 of the June 28, 2004 Response to Office Action.